

A66 Northern Trans-Pennine Project

Deadline 3 Submission: Updated Principal Areas of Disagreement Summary Statement

	The principle issue in question	The brief concern held by Historic England which will be reported on in full in WR / LIR	What needs to; <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • change, or • be included, or • amended so as to overcome the disagreement	Likelihood of the concern being addressed during Examination
1	<i>Procedural Issues</i>			
a	<p>The application has indicated that the DCO Requirements will be included as part of the EMP (a certified document) rather than being part of the DCO itself. The application also proposes that, should development consent be granted, it will be possible for National Highways to amend the second iteration of the EMP without recourse to the Secretary of State.</p>	<p>This is a change from the standard approach; as many elements of the project are still to be finalised, further clarification will be needed as to what the self-approval process outlined will entail so that we can be confident, for example, that mitigation measures are appropriately secured.</p> <p><u>Update at D3:</u></p> <p>HE acknowledges that the Applicant has provided some additional information in relation to the self-approval process, and has made some amendments to the draft DCO. However, the proposed use</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Further clarification as to how the self-approval process will work in practice to appropriately address impacts on the historic environment. • We will continue to engage with NH and SEBs on the proposed self-approval process and seek further clarification as to what the NH self-approval process will entail and how best to ensure appropriate treatment of the historic environment <p><u>Update at D3:</u></p> <p>HE's WR set out in detail the amendments we would wish to see in relation to this issue and</p>	<p>We anticipate that the examination will be able to elicit clarification as to how the self-approval process will work in practice, and will have the ability to ensure that any residual concerns are addressed.</p> <p><u>Update at D3:</u></p> <p>HE welcomes the attention paid to this matter by the ExA and the additional information provided by the Applicant as a result. We acknowledge that the Applicant has made some amendments to the draft DCO and we await the revised draft EMP, which we understand will</p>



		<p>and operation of the EMP, particularly the self-approval and consultation mechanisms (as set out fully in our WR), remain a principal area of disagreement.</p>	<p>acknowledges that some changes to the draft DCO have been made. HE has not yet seen the revised draft EMP and proposes to comment further on the draft DCO and EMP once it has been possible to revised the revised draft EMP together with the revised draft DCO.</p>	<p>be submitted at D3. HE proposes to comment further on the draft DCO and EMP once it has been possible to review the revised draft EMP together with the revised draft DCO.</p> <p>HE will continue to engage with the Applicant and the examination on this issue.</p>
--	--	--	---	---

**A66 Northern Trans-Pennine Project
Deadline 3 Submission: Updated Principal Areas of Disagreement Summary Statement**